

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/802,178 | 03/17/2004 | Clint Miller | TROU1100-3 | 3852 |
| | 7590 11/13/2008 LAW GROUP | 1 | EXAM | IINER |
| 1301 W. 25TH STREET | | | CHAU, DUNG K | |
| SUITE 408 AUSTIN, TX 7 | 78705 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2169 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/802,178
 MILLER ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 DUNG K. CHAU
 2169

| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
|--|---|---|---|
| | DUNG K. CHAU | 2169 | |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO | personnel): | | |
| (1) <u>DUNG K. CHAU</u> . | (3)Kevin Gust. | | |
| (2) <u>Kavita Padnamabdan</u> . | (4) | | |
| Date of Interview: 06 November 2008. | | | |
| Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2 | t)∏ applicant's representative | e] | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: | e) No. | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: 1. | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: Pak. | | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g |)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N | I/A. | |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative proposed amendment. Examiner suggested to incorporate (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no conclude a substance of the available, a summary thereof must be attached. The FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THE INTERVIEW THE MAILING DATE OF THE INTERVIEW OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE S | e explained the highlight of the the highlight of the invention. The invention ments which the examiner agopy of the amendments that w.l.) CTION MUST INCLUDE THE last Office action has already ONE MONTH OR THIRT) ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, | e invention and of in the claim. reed would rend- /ould render the SUBSTANCE (been filed, APP / DAYS FROM 1 WHICHEVER IS | er the claims claims OF THE LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO |